UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: CROP INPUTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2993

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)

On June 8, 2021, the Panel transferred 13 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2021). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Missouri. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Sarah E. Pitlyk.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Missouri and assigned to Judge Pitlyk.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Missouri for the reasons stated in the order of June 8, 2021, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Sarah E. Pitlyk

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jun 21, 2021

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

GREGORY & EINHARES, CLERK
A TRUE CORY OF THE ORIGINAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERS DISTRICT COURT
BY:

TOTERN DISTRICT OF MI

IN RE: CROP INPUTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2993

SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
IDAHO			
ID	4	21-00121	B & H Farming et al v. Syngenta Corporation et al
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN			
ILS	3	21-00297	Little Omega v. Bayer CropScience LP et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	21-00543	Eagle Lake Farms Partnership v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-00639	DeKrey v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-00681	Schultz v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-00685	Hapka Farms, Inc. et al v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-00719	Beeman Berry Farm, LLC v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-00970	Wunsch Farms v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-00996	Beck v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
MN	0	21-01239	Peiffer v. Bayer Cropscience LP et al
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	21-01049	TOM BURKE FARMS v. BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP et al